UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,689	03/31/2004	Anthony D. Cristillo	502615.20013	8605
26418 REED SMITH,	7590 12/08/200 LLP	EXAMINER		
ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR			HUMPHREY, LOUISE WANG ZHIYING	
NEW YORK, N		LOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/814,689	CRISTILLO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LOUISE HUMPHREY	1648	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON's statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	This action is non-final. Ilowance except for formal matte	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>13-24 and 29-38</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-24</u> is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>29-38</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and continuous continu	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country. The oath or declaration is objected to by the specific	accepted or b) objected to be to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	nments have been received. Iments have been received in Ape priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	18) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application _·	

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed 20 August 2008. Claims 1-12 and 25-28 have been cancelled. Claims 13-24 and 29-38 are pending. Claims 13-24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b). Claims 29-38 are currently examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. §102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The rejection of claims 29-33 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by González *et al.* (1999, hereinafter "González") is **maintained** for reasons of record.

The instant claims are directed to a DNA molecule comprising a nucleic acid encoding a viral protein, which comprises a deletion of the viral budding mediating motif consisting of PTAP (SEQ ID NO:1), PPX₁Y (SEQ ID NO:2), YX₂X₃L (SEQ ID NO:3) and a combination thereof, wherein the budding mediating motif does not include any amino acids directly adjacent to the amino acid sequence.

González teaches a plasmid comprising SIV nucleic acid comprising a deletion mutation of the C-terminus of the gag gene comprising the budding mediating motif consisting of PTAP, according to Figure 1. The deletion mutant nucleic acid is introduced into a vector also comprising the coding sequence for the SIV protease. See

page 6385, left column and Figure 1. Thus, the instant invention is anticipated by González

.

The rejection of claims 29-38 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Puffer *et al.* (1997) is **maintained**.

The instant claims are drawn to a DNA molecule comprising a nucleic acid encoding a deletion mutation of a retroviral Gag protein budding mediating motif comprising or consisting of YX₂X₃L (SEQ ID NO:3); a vector comprising the DNA molecule; and a composition comprising either the DNA molecule or the vector.

Puffer teaches a DNA construct or PCR fragment comprising a nucleic acid encoding a deletion mutation of Equine Infectious Anemia Virus (EIAV) Gag protein budding motif consisting of Y X₂X₃L (SEQ ID NO:3). The PCR fragment is cloned into a plasmid vector. See abstract and page 6542, left column, "Materials and Methods," and Figure 1. Thus, the instant invention is anticipated by Puffer.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that González fails to disclose the deletion of a budding mediating motif consisting of any of the recited three amino acid sequences or a combination thereof. Examiner does not concur. First, the deletion mutation, as claimed, consists of four amino acid residues, rather than three amino acids. Second, Applicant contends that González's deletion includes PTAP. However, Applicants cannot disregard the

Art Unit: 1648

open language claim limitations "a DNA molecule comprising a nucleic acid comprising a deletion mutation of the budding mediating motif" preceding the closed language "consisting of." González clearly teaches a nucleic acid comprising the deletion of a budding mediating motif consisting of PTAP.

Page 4

Applicant further assert that the new limitation in the amendment "wherein the budding mediating motif does not include any amino acids directly adjacent to the amino acid sequence" clarifies the "consisting of" in claim 29. To the contrary, the new "wherein" clause merely repeats the same claim limitation as "consisting of " in a convoluted way and does not change the fact that the claim still has an open limitation for the deletion of viral budding motif.

Applicant next argues that Puffer discloses a substitution mutation of YXXL to Ala residues not a deletion mutation and that Puffer's discussion of the hypothetic function is YXXL is a far cry from disclosing a deletion mutation of a budding mediating motif consisting of YXXL. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant has disregarded the pertinent section of the reference cited by the Examiner in Figure 1, specifically the construct EG.d1-28, which comprises a deletion of a viral budding motif consisting of YPDL. Applicant has shifted to an irrelevant section about alanine scanning which was never cited by the Examiner. Furthermore, Puffer's disclosure of the hypothesis about the functions of YXXL is not relevant to the claims. Puffer anticipates the claimed invention so long as the reference discloses the claimed "DNA molecule comprising a nucleic acid comprising a deletion mutation of the viral budding mediating motif consisting of YX₂X₃L."

New Ground of Rejection as Necessitated by Applicant's Amendment Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 29-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 29 recites "wherein the budding mediating motif consists of an amino acid sequence" followed by the phrase "wherein the budding mediating motif does not include any amino acids directly adjacent to the amino acid sequence," which is redundant with the phrase "consisting of." It is unclear what the last wherein clause means as to whether Applicant means to limit the size of the deletion mutation or the position of the deletion mutation of the viral budding mediating motif. This rejection affects all dependent claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 1648

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louise Humphrey whose telephone number is 571-272-5543. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,689 Page 7

Art Unit: 1648

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/L. H./
Examiner, Art Unit 1648
04 December 2008
/Bruce Campell/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1648